



# The Gazette of India

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 40] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1953

**NOTICE**

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 26th September, 1953 :—

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
237	S. R. O. 1736, dated the 7th September 1953.	Election Commission, India.	Election Petition No. 198 of 1952.
238	S. R. O. 1737, dated the 21st September 1953.	Ministry of Food and Agriculture.	The Central Government authorises the Uttar Pradesh Co-operative Cane Unions Federation Limited, Lucknow to exercise, with respect to the Pannijee Sugar and General Mills, Co., certain functions of control.
239	S. R. O. 1738, dated the 23rd September 1953.	Election Commission, India.	Extension of date upto the 14th November, 1953 for the period within which claims and objections are to be made in respect of the electoral roll for the Kozhikode Parliamentary constituency.
	S. R. O. 1739, dated the 23rd September 1953.	Ditto.	Extension of date upto the 14th November, 1953 for the period within which claims and objections are to be made in respect of the electoral rolls for the West Coast Islands of Amindivi, Laccadive and Minicoy.
239A	S. R. O. 1739A, dated the 23rd September, 1953.	Ministry of Law.	Fixation of the hours during which the poll shall be taken in the Calcutta South East Parliamentary constituency.
	S. R. O. 1739B, dated the 23rd September, 1953.	Ditto.	Fixation of the hours during which the poll shall be taken in the Nabadwip Parliamentary constituency.
240	S. R. O. 1792, dated the 7th September, 1953.	Election Commission, India.	Election Petition No. 276 of 1952.
241	S. R. O. 1793, dated the 25th September, 1953.	Ministry of Food and Agriculture.	Fixation of minimum prices of sugar-cane during 1953-54 crushing season.

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

**PART II—Section 3****Statutory Rules and Orders issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and Central Authorities (other than the Chief Commissioners).****ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA***New Delhi, the 25th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1805.**—It is hereby notified for general information that the disqualifications under clause (c) of section 7 and section 143 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (XLIII of 1951), incurred by the person whose name and address are given below, as notified under notification No. MD-P/52(5), dated the 1st April, 1952, have been removed by the Election Commission in exercise of the powers conferred on it by the said clause and section 144 of the said Act respectively:—

Shri Seelam Joseph, Idupulapadu, Bapatla Taluk, Guntur District, Madras.

[No. MD-P/53(1)/3441.]

**S.R.O. 1806.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 22 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, (XLIII of 1951), the Election Commission hereby makes the following amendment in its notification No. 62/2/51-Elec. 11(3), dated the 6th November, 1951, namely:—

**“Amendment**

In the Table appended to the said notification, for the existing entry in column (2) relating to the Champaran North constituency, the entry ‘Shri Zahoor Ahmad, Sub-Deputy Collector, Bcttiah,’ shall be substituted.”

[No. 156/2/53(3)/3418.]

**S.R.O. 1807.**—In pursuance of sub-rule (5) of rule 114 of the Representation of the People (Conduct of Elections and Election Petitions) Rules, 1951, the name of the person shown in column 1 of the Schedule below who having been nominated as a candidate for bye-election to the House of the People from the constituency specified in column 2 thereof, and having appointed himself to be his election agent at the said bye-election, has, in accordance with the decision given by the Election Commission under sub-rule (4) of the said rule, failed to lodge the return of election expenses within the time required and has thereby incurred the disqualifications under clause (c) of section 7 and section 143 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (XLIII of 1951), is hereby published:—

**SCHEDULE**

Name of the Candidate I	Name of constituency 2
Shtri Anup Lal Mehta	Bhagalpur <i>cum</i> Purnea

[No. BR-P/53(79/BYE/3447.]

**Corrigendum***New Delhi, the 28th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1808.**—In Part X of the Election Commission’s notification No. 69/53, dated the 1st September, 1953, published in the *Gazette of India, Extraordinary*, Part II Section 3, dated the 11th September, 1953, as S.R.O. 1698, for “Shri K. Satyanarayana Rao”, read “Shri K. Satyanarayana Rao”.

[No. 69/53/3573.]

By Order,

P. N. SHINGHAL, Secy.

## MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 28th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1809.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 17 and 27 of the Indian Arms Act, 1878 (XI of 1878), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the Indian Arms Rules, 1951, namely:—

In column 3 of the table set forth in Schedule I to the said Rules—

(a) in entry 2(c) and in entry 3(c), after the existing condition, the following condition shall be inserted, namely:—

“The exemption shall apply only to the arms and ammunition belonging to the Ruler and carried by such aids-de-camp or personal bodyguards”;

(b) in entry 2(d), after condition (ii) the following condition shall be inserted, namely:—

“the exemption shall apply only to the arms and ammunition belonging to the Ruler and carried by such aids-de-camp or personal bodyguards”.

[No. 9/44/53-Police (I).]

U. K. GHOSHAL, Dy. Secy.

## MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 29th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1810.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clauses (b), (e), (g) and (h) of section 44 of the Bengal Municipal Act, 1932 (Bengal Act XV of 1932), as extended to Chandernagore by the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of External Affairs No. 147-Eur.I, dated the 1st April, 1953, the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the rules made by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of External Affairs No. 362-Eur.I, dated the 16th July, 1953, namely:—

In sub-rule (4) of rule 26 of the said rules,—

(a) the brackets and letter “(a)” occurring after the words “shall appoint a polling officer” shall be omitted;

(b) after the words “at each polling centre”, the words “or booth” shall be inserted;

(c) after the words “several polling centres in one ward” the words “or several polling booths at one polling centre” shall be inserted.

[D. No. 5379-Eur.I/53.]

[No. 919-Eur.I.]

A. N. MEHTA, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 23rd September 1953

**S.R.O. 1811.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Contingency Fund of India Act, 1950 (XLIX of 1950), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendments shall be made in the Contingency Fund of India Rules, namely:—

In the said Rules—

1. In rule 6, after the word “expenditure” the words “including expenditure on a new service not contemplated in the annual financial statement” shall be inserted.

2. In rule 8—

(a) The note under rule 8 shall be re-numbered as ‘Note 1’ and in this note as so re-numbered the word “all” shall be omitted and for the word “will” the word “shall” shall be substituted.

(b) after "Note 1" as so re-numbered the following note shall be inserted, namely:—

"**NOTE 2.**—If the expenditure on a new service not contemplated in the annual financial statement can be met, wholly or partly, from savings available within the authorised appropriation, the note appended to the estimate submitted shall be in the following form:—

The expenditure is on a new service. A sum of Rs..... has been advanced from the Contingency Fund in..... and an equivalent amount is required to enable repayment to be made to that Fund.

The amount viz. Rs.  
A part of that amount viz. Rs. can be found by re-appropriation

of savings within the grant and a token vote only is now required viz. Rs..... only."

3. After rule 8 the following rules shall be inserted, namely:—

"8-A. If, in any case, after the order sanctioning an advance from the Contingency Fund has been issued in accordance with rule 7 and before action is taken in accordance with rule 8, it is found that the advance sanctioned will remain wholly or partly unutilised, an application shall be made to the sanctioning authority for cancelling or modifying the sanction, as the case may be.

8-B. All advances sanctioned from the Contingency Fund to meet expenditure in excess of the provision for the service included in an Appropriation (Vote on Account) Act shall be resumed to the Contingency Fund as soon as the Appropriation Act in respect of the expenditure on the service for the whole year, including the excess met from the advances from the Contingency Fund has been passed."

[No. 1(113)B/53.]

H. S. NEGI, Dy. Secy.

*New Delhi, the 25th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1812.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 and, in relation to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department, also by clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution, read with articles 313 and 372 thereof and paragraph 19 of the Adaptation of Laws Order, 1950, the President, after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General as regards the persons referred to above, hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the Revised Leave Rules, 1933, namely:—

For rule 14 of the said Rules, the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

"14. (a) Extraordinary leave may be granted to any officer in special circumstances—

- (i) when no other leave is by rule admissible, or
- (ii) when other leave is admissible, but the officer concerned applies in writing for the grant of extraordinary leave.

(b) Except in the case of an officer in permanent employ and an officer in quasi-permanent employ, the duration of extraordinary leave shall not exceed three or eighteen months on any one occasion, the longer period being admissible subject to such conditions as the President may by general or special order prescribe, only when the officer concerned is undergoing treatment for—

- (i) pulmonary tuberculosis in a recognised sanatorium, or
- (ii) tuberculosis of any other part of the body by a qualified tuberculosis specialist or a civil surgeon, or
- (iii) leprosy in a recognised leprosy institution or by a civil surgeon or a specialist in leprosy recognised as such by the State Administrative Medical Officer concerned.

**NOTE 1.**—The concession of extraordinary leave upto eighteen months will be admissible also to a Government servant who for want of accommodation in any recognised sanatorium at or near the place of his duty receives treatment at his

residence under a tuberculosis specialist recognised as such by the State Administrative Medical Officer concerned and produces a certificate signed by that specialist to the effect that he is under his treatment and that he has reasonable chances of recovery on the expiry of the leave recommended.

NOTE 2.—The concession of extraordinary leave upto eighteen months under this sub-rule will be admissible only to those Government servants who have been in continuous Government service for a period exceeding one year.

(c) Where a Government servant who is not in permanent employ or quasi-permanent employ fails to resume duty on the expiry of the maximum period of extraordinary leave granted to him, or where such a Government servant, who is granted a lesser amount of extraordinary leave than the maximum amount admissible, remains absent from duty for any period which together with the extraordinary leave granted exceeds the limit upto which he could have been granted such leave under sub-rule (b), he shall be deemed to have resigned his appointment and shall, accordingly, cease to be in Government employ.

(d) The authority empowered to grant leave may commute retrospectively periods of absence without leave into extraordinary leave."

[No. F.7(21)-Est.IV/53.]

C. B. GULATI, Dy. Secy.

#### MINISTRY OF FINANCE (COMMUNICATIONS)

New Delhi, the 24th September 1953

S.R.O. 1813.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Post Office National Savings Certificates Ordinance, 1944 (No. XLII of 1944), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the Post Office National Savings Certificates Rules, 1944, namely:—

In clause (d) of sub-rule (1) of rule (I), before the words "The Postmaster of the office of registration" the words "The Superintendent of Post Offices and" shall be inserted.

[No. D-1052(A)-C.3/PT/53.]

N. V. VENKATRAMAN, Dy. Secy.

#### MINISTRY OF FINANCE (REVENUE DIVISION)

##### CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 24th September 1953

S.R.O. 1814.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Revenue Division) No. 5-Customs, dated the 18th January 1952, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification, after entry 3, the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

"3A. Mayabandar. The Divisional Forest Officer, North Andaman."

[No. 73.]

New Delhi, the 25th September 1953

S.R.O. 1815.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), and in supersession, with effect from the 15th October 1953, of the notification of the Government of India in the Finance Department (Central Revenues), No. 55-Customs, dated the 9th December 1933, the Central Government hereby restricts with effect from the 15th October 1953, the bringing into India of apparatus for wireless telegraphs as follows:—

(a) in the case of transmitting apparatus and of receiving apparatus incorporated in a single unit with transmitting apparatus, to persons who have been licensed to import such apparatus by the Ministry of Communications in Form I, annexed hereto;

(b) in other cases, to persons who apply to a customs officer to import the same in Form II, annexed hereto:

Provided that in the case of wireless receiving apparatus imported by post the customs officer may dispense with the application referred to in clause (b).

FORM I

Registered No.

Dated

19

LICENSE TO IMPORT TRANSMITTING APPARATUS FOR WIRELESS TELEGRAPHS INTO INDIA

In pursuance of Notification No. —Customs, dated the issued under section 19 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Ministry of Communications of the Government of India (hereinafter called the Ministry) hereby grants to

of

(hereinafter called the Licensee), during the term or period commencing on the day of the date hereof, and terminating on the 31st day of December 19 when the licence expires and becomes invalid unless renewed by endorsement thereon under the hand of the Ministry, license and permission to import at the following transmitting apparatus for wireless telegraphs:—

for Ministry of Communications.

The

day of

19

Signed by the Licensee.....  
in the presence of.....

The

day of

19

(Reverse).

NOTICE

The licensee must produce this license to the Customs Authorities whenever wireless transmitting apparatus is being imported in order that the necessary details may be inserted in the form below by the Customs Authorities on each occasion when such licensed apparatus is imported.

Date	Invoice No.	Real value for customs of Licensed Apparatus Imported Rs.	Name and address of Consignee when other than the Importer	Custom Stamp
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

N.B.—This license should be returned to the Ministry of Communications, New Delhi, for renewal at least one month before the date on which it is due to expire together with the renewal fee of Rs. 10 by Money Order and application stating the registered number and date of the license.

## FORM II

APPLICATION TO IMPORT WIRELESS RECEIVING APPARATUS INTO INDIA  
NOT to be used for Transmitting Apparatus.

1. Name and Address of Owner:

2. Name and Address of Importer when he is not also the owner:

3. Description of apparatus to be imported:

Value: Rs.....

Number of complete wireless receivers:.....

I hereby certify that the above is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date.....

(Signature of Importer).

NOTICE.—Under the Indian Wireless Telegraphy Act, 1933, and the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885, a license is required for the possession or for the establishment, maintenance and working of wireless apparatus in India.

To be signed by the customs officer and forwarded to the Ministry of Communications, New Delhi.

Importation permitted

(Customs Officer).

Customs Stamp.

[No. 71.]

S.R.O. 1816.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 23 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made with effect from the 15th October 1953 in the notification of the Government of India in the late Finance Department (Central Revenues), No. 33, dated the 22nd June 1935, namely:—

In the said notification, in Schedule I—Import Duties, in the entry in column 2 against Serial No. 6, for the words "Director-General of Posts and Telegraphs to the effect that he is satisfied", the words "Ministry of Communications to the effect that it is satisfied" shall be substituted and for the words "by the General Manager, Overseas Communications Service, Government of India, if the apparatus is imported for Overseas Communications Service, by the Director General of Civil Aviation in India, if the apparatus is imported for Aeronautical Communication Service", the words "by the Ministry of Communications, if the apparatus is imported for Overseas Communications Service or for Aeronautical Communication Service" shall be substituted.

[No. 72.]

New Delhi, the 26th September 1953

S.R.O. 1817.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 188 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Revenue Division) No. 71—Customs, dated the 29th September 1951, namely:—

In the said notification, for the word 'Jamnagar' the word 'Baroda' shall be substituted.

[No. 74.]

**S.R.O. 1818.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Land Customs Act, 1924 (XIX of 1924) the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Revenue Division), No. 55-Customs, dated the 24th July 1951, namely:—

In the said notification for the words “Bombay, Baroda and Jamnagar” the words “Bombay and Baroda” shall be substituted.

[No. 76.]

*New Delhi, the 30th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1819.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendments shall be made in the Ministry of Finance (Revenue Division) notification No. 3-Customs, dated the 8th January 1951, namely:—

In the said notification—

(1) For entry No. (2), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“(2) The Assistant Collectors of Central Excise, ALLAHABAD, BAREILLY, FARUKHABAD, LUCKNOW and MEERUT Divisions, the Headquarters Assistant to the Collector and the Assistant Collector (Intelligence-Preventive), Collectorate Headquarters, ALLAHABAD.”

(2) For entry No. (3), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“(3) The Superintendent of Central Excise, BAREILLY, SHAHJEHANPUR, SITAPUR, GONDA and GORAKHPUR Circles and the Superintendent (Intelligence-Preventive), Collectorate Headquarters, ALLAHABAD.”

(3) For entry No. (4), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“(4) The Deputy Superintendent of Central Excise, HALDWANI, LAKHIM-PURKHERI, GONDA, GORAKHPUR and SHAHJEHANPUR Circles, and Deputy Superintendents (Intelligence-Preventive), Collectorate Headquarters, ALLAHABAD.”

(4) For entry No. (5), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

“(5) The Inspectors of Central Excise, ALMORA, TANAKPORE, NANPARA, PILIBHIT, PALIAKALAN, SINGAHIKALAN, BAHRACH, BAL-RAMPUR, SHOHRATGARH, NAUTANWA, SISWABAZAR and PADRAUNA Ranges, all Inspectors (Intelligence-Preventive), whether posted at Collectorate Headquarters, ALLAHABAD, or in the Divisions and Circles of ALLAHABAD Collectorate.”

[No. 79.]

A. K. MUKARJI, Dy. Secy.

### CENTRAL BOARD OF REVENUE

#### INCOME TAX

*New Delhi, the 18th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1820.**—In pursuance of sub-section (4) of Section 5 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922 (XI of 1922), the Central Board of Revenue directs that the following further amendments shall be made in its Notification No. 32 Income-tax dated the 9th November 1946, namely:—

In the Schedule appended to the said notification under the sub-head “I-Madras” for the existing Ranges and Income-tax Circles, the following Ranges and Income-tax Circles, shall be substituted, namely:—

#### Madras 'A' Range

- (1) Madras City Circle I.
- (2) Madras City Circle V.
- (3) Madras (Special) Central Circle.
- (4) Madras (Special) North Circle.
- (5) Madras (Special) South Circle.
- (6) Madras (Special) East Circle.

#### Madhurai Range

- (1) Madhurai Circle.
- (2) Tirunelveli Circle.
- (3) Tuticorin Circle.
- (4) Special Survey Circle No. 2, Madhurai.
- (5) Madhurai (Special) Circle.

*Madras 'A' Range—contd.*

- (7) Madras (Special) Circle.
- (8) Special Circle, Madras.
- (9) Madras Salaries Circle.
- (10) Special Survey Circle No. 1, Madras.
- (11) Salem Circle.
- (12) Cuddalore Circle.

*Madras 'B' Range*

- (1) Madras City Circle III.
- (2) Kanchipuram Circle.
- (3) Nagapattinam Circle.
- (4) Tanjore Circle.
- (5) Chittoor Circle.

*Madras 'C' Range*

- (1) Madras City Circle II.
- (2) Madras City Circle IV.
- (3) Cuddapah Circle.
- (4) Bapatla Circle.
- (5) Guntur Circle.
- (6) Tenali Circle.
- (7) Nellore Circle.

*Tiruchirapalli Range.*

- (1) Tiruchirapalli Circle.
- (2) Puddukottai Circle.
- (3) Karakudi Circle.
- (4) Dindigul Circle.
- (5) Virudhunagar Circle.

2. Where an Income-tax Circle stands transferred by this Notification from one Range to another, appeals arising out of assessments made in that Income-tax Circle, and pending immediately before the date of this notification before the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of the Range from which that circle is transferred to and shall on and from the date of this Notification be transferred to and dealt with by the Appellate Assistant Commissioner of Range, to which the said Circle is transferred.

[No. 63.]

K. B. DEB, Under Secy.

---

CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 26th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1821.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of section 8 of the Land Customs Act, 1924 (XIX of 1924), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in its notification No. 31—Customs, dated the 1st April 1950, namely:—

In the said notification for the words 'Collector of Customs, Saurashtra' the words 'Collector of Central Excise, Baroda' shall be substituted.

[No. 75.]

**S.R.O. 1822.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Land Customs Act, 1924 (XIX of 1924), read with notification of the Government of India in the late Finance Department (Central Revenue) No. 5944, dated the 13th September 1924, the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in its notification No. 56—Customs, dated the 24th July 1951, namely:—

In the said notification for the words "Bombay, Baroda and Jamnagar" the words "Bombay and Baroda" shall be substituted.

[No. 77.]

New Delhi, the 29th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1823.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the

following amendments shall be made in its notification No. 5-Customs, dated the 8th January 1951, namely:—

In the said notification for the existing entries against S. Nos. (2) and (3) under paragraph 2, the following entries shall be substituted, namely:—

- “(2) The Assistant Collectors of Central Excise, ALLAHABAD, BAREILLY, FARUKHABAD, LUCKNOW and MEERUT, the Headquarters Assistant to the Collector, Assistant Collector (Intelligence-Preventive), Collectorate Headquarters, ALLAHABAD.”
- (3) The Superintendents of Central Excise, BAREILLY, SITAPUR, GONDA, GORAKHPUR, and SHAHJEHANPUR Circles and the Superintendents (Intelligence-Preventive), Collectorate Headquarters, ALLAHABAD.”

[No. 80.]

**S.R.O. 1824.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to section 182 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Board of Revenue, hereby directs that the following amendments shall be made in its notification No. 6-Customs, dated the 8th January 1951, namely:—

In the said notification in the schedule annexed thereto for the existing entry under column 1 against S. Nos. 1 and 2 the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

- “1. The Assistant Collectors of Central Excise, ALLAHABAD, BAREILLY, FARUKHABAD, LUCKNOW and MEERUT, the Headquarters Assistant to the Collector, the Assistant Collector (Intelligence-Preventive), Collectorate Headquarters, ALLAHABAD.”
- 2. The Superintendents of Central Excise, BAREILLY, SITAPUR, GONDA, GORAKHPUR, and SHAHJEHANPUR Circles, and the Superintendents (Intelligence-Preventive), Collectorate Headquarters, ALLAHABAD.”

[No. 81.]

*New Delhi, the 3rd October 1953*

**S.R.O. 1825.**—In pursuance of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Land Customs Act, 1924 (XIX of 1924), read with section 182 of the Sea Customs Act, 1878 (VIII of 1878), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in its notification No. 4-Customs, dated the 19th July 1952, namely:—

In the said notification the word ‘Jamnagar’ wherever it occurs shall be omitted.

[No. 78.]

A. K. MUKARJI,  
Secy., Central Board of Revenue.

---

#### MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

*Bombay, the 23rd September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1826.**—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by clause 18 of the Cotton Control Order, 1950, I hereby direct that the following amendment shall be made in the Textile Commissioner's notification No. S.R.O. 827, dated the 10th May 1952, namely:—

In paragraph (2) of the said notification after item (e) the following item shall be inserted, namely—

“(f) The States of Delhi and Uttar Pradesh”.

M. R. KAZIMI,  
Joint Textile Commissioner.  
[No. 44(12)-CT(A)/53(iv).]

*New Delhi, the 3rd October 1953*

**S.R.O. 1827.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (i) of clause 5 of the Cotton Textiles (Export Control) Order, 1949, the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Commerce No. 67-CW(25A)/48, dated the 26th March, 1949, namely:—

In paragraph 2 of the said notification, in the proviso to sub-paragraph (2), for the words "such markings shall be made" the words "such markings may, if the producer so desires, be made" shall be substituted.

[No. 46(34)-CT(A)/52-15.]

S. A. TECKCHANDANI, Under Secy.

**MINISTRY OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE**

**(Agriculture)**

*New Delhi, the 23rd September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1828.**—In pursuance of Rule 4(b) of the Rules under Section 18 of the Indian Coconut Committee Act, 1944, the Central Government is pleased to notify that Shri P. T. Chacko, having resigned his seat in the House of the People with effect from the 3rd July, 1953, ceased to be a member of the Indian Central Coconut Committee, from that date.

[No. F.2-56/52-Com.II.]

**ORDER**

*New Delhi, the 23rd September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1829.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Indian Cotton Cess Act, 1923 (XIV of 1923), the Central Government hereby directs that in the notification of the Government of India in the late Department of Education, Health and Lands No. F.25-13(2)/40-A, dated the 21st August, 1940, for the words "Khulna and Dacca" the words "and Asansol" shall be substituted.

[No. F.1-40/53-Com.II.]

F. C. GERA, Under Secy.

**CORRIGENDUM**

*New Delhi, the 25th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1830.**—In this Ministry's notification No. F. 4-7/51-Dte. II, dated the 21st November, 1951, published at page 2048 Part II Section 3 of the Gazette of India, dated the 1st December, 1951, in column 2 of Schedule III, in entry (a) under the sub-heading 'Special Characteristics' against "Agmark Certified Pedi-greed, Syuog (Seg 8-1)"—

(a) Omit "on Government farm" occurring after "shall have been inspected", and

(b) insert "on Government farm" after "fertilised seed produced".

[No. F.4-7/51-Dte.II.]

S. D. UDHRRAIN, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 29th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1831.**—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (m) of section 4 of the Indian Central Oilseeds Committee, Act, 1946, (IX of 1946), the Central Government are pleased to nominate Shri A. D. Mango of Messrs Ralli (India) Ltd., No. 320 Linghi Chetty Street, Madras-1, to be a member of the Indian Central Oilseeds Committee to represent exporters of oilseeds and oilseed products with effect from 1st April, 1953.

[No. F.5-70/53-Com-I.]

R. L. MEHTA, Dy. Secy

## ORDER

*New Delhi, the 28th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1832.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 3 of the Essential Supplies (Temporary Powers) Act, 1946 (XXIV of 1946), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Food and Agriculture No. S.R.O. 38, dated the 6th January, 1953, namely:—

For clause 3 of the said order the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—

“(3) Each of the authorised controllers shall be entitled to a remuneration of rupees 2,000 (two thousand) per month, plus a commission of  $\frac{1}{4}$  per cent. on the total value of the sugar produced:

Provided that no such remuneration shall be payable without the permission in writing of the Central Government.”

[No. SV-125(10)/52.]

P. A. GOPALAKRISHNAN, Joint Secy.

## MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

*New Delhi, the 24th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1833.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (XXXVII of 1952), the Central Government hereby directs that the film entitled “Hangman’s Knot” produced by Columbia Films of India Ltd., Inc., U.S.A., shall be deemed to be an uncertified film in the whole of India.

[No. 11/11/53-F.II.]

*New Delhi, the 26th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1834.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 8 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (XXXVII of 1952), read with section 24 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 (X of 1897), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1951, namely:—

In rule 2 of the said rules for clause (ix), the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—

“(ix) (a) “Regional Officer” means the Regional or the Additional Regional Officer of the Board at Bombay, Calcutta or Madras as the case may be;

(b) “Assistant Regional Officer” means the Assistant Regional Officer of the Board at Bombay, Calcutta or Madras as the case may be and includes the Secretary to the Chairman.”

[No. F.6/17/53-FII/C.C.R.A/7.]

A. N. BERY, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION

*New Delhi, the 16th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1835.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 16 of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (XXXI of 1950), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Rehabilitation No. 1(3)(37)/51-Prop., dated the 15th November, 1951, the Central Government hereby authorises the Custodian of Evacuee Property, Rajasthan, Alwar, to issue certificates under the said sub-section to Meos for the restoration of Pucca Houses claimed by them.

[No. 1(3)(37)/51-Prop.]

MANMOHAN KISHAN, Under Secy.

## MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS

*New Delhi, the 28th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1836.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Indian Aircraft Act, 1934 (XXII of 1934), the Central Government is pleased to direct that the following further amendments shall be made in the Indian Aircraft Rules, 1937, the same having been published as required by section 14 of the said Act, namely:—

In the said Rules, to paragraph 2 of section C of Schedule VIII, the following shall be added, namely—

“Provided that in the case of a licensed scheduled air transport service operated wholly or partly by night, the co-pilot shall be required to have on his B licence an endorsement for the type of aircraft flown on the service and shall in addition be required to have any of the following qualifications, that is to say—

- (i) a minimum of 1000 hours experience as a co-pilot but not as supernumerary on the type of aircraft operated or on a type of the same class of aircraft, of which at least 10 hours shall be by night; or
- (ii) Pilot-in-command certificate for the type of aircraft operated; or
- (iii) endorsement for the type of aircraft operated and a pilot-in-command certificate for the type of the same class of aircraft which is operated.”

[No. 10-A/8-53.]

V. K. MENON, Dy. Secy.

## MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT

## PORTS

*New Delhi, the 26th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1837.**—In pursuance of the provisions of clause (3) of section 3 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (XV of 1908), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri Abdul Razak Esmail Bhombal, and Shri Isac Bala Modak, Chief Officers of the Scindia Steam Navigation Company's Coasting Steamers, as special pilots to pilot vessels in the Port of Bombay, subject to the restrictions laid down in Part XII of the Bombay Port Trust Pilotage By-Laws.

[No. 8-PI(226)/53-I.]

K. NARAYANAN, Under Secy.

## Corrigendum

*New Delhi, the 29th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1838.**—In this Ministry's Notification No. 4-PII(1)/53-IV (Ports), dated 8th July, 1953 fixing the rates of fees for services rendered at the Port of Kandla, under item 5(c) (i) for the words “per hour”, wherever they occur, the words “per ton” shall be substituted.

[No. 4-PII(1)/53.]

*New Delhi, the 29th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1839.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by clauses (b) and (c) of section 5 of the Bombay Landing and Wharfage Fees Act, 1882 (Bombay Act VII of 1882), as applied to the Port of Kandla by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Transport No. 14-P(89)/49-I, dated the 29th June, 1950,

the Central Government hereby declares the following landing places and moorings as public landing places with the limits indicated in the table below against them:—

S. No.	Name of Landing Place	Boundaries of landing place
1	Reinforced Concrete Pier.	Bounded on North and South by Kandla Creek and on the West by Kandla reclamation.
2	Northern landing place.	Bounded on North and East by Kandla Creek and on the South by Reinforced Concrete approach to Reinforced Concrete pier and on the West by Kandla reclamation.
3	Middle landing place.	Bounded on the North by Reinforced Concrete approach to pier on the East by Kandla Creek and on the South by timber jetty and on the West by Kandla reclamation.
4	Southern landing place.	Bounded on the North by Timber jetty on the South by Wahya Creek on the East by Kandla Creek and on the West by Dispensary Road.
5	Tuna Bunder.	Bounded on the North and West by low land for storing timber on the South and East by Tuna.
6	Jhangi Bunder.	Bounded on the North by Jhangi village, South by Jhangi Creek, East and West by Hadkya Creek.
7	Salt mooring.	Radius of about 600 ft. (maximum) centering the salt mooring buoy.

[No. 4-PII(I)/53.]

C. PARTHASARATHY, Dy. Secy.

### MINISTRY OF WORKS, HOUSING AND SUPPLY

New Delhi, the 23rd September 1953

S.R.O. 1840.—The following draft of a further amendment in the Petroleum Rules, 1937, which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 and sub-section (1) of section 29 of the Petroleum Act, 1934 (XXX of 1934), is published as required by sub-section (2) of section 29, for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 25th October 1953.

An objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date specified will be considered by the Central Government.

#### Draft Amendment

For condition (ii) in rule 70 of the said Rules, the following condition shall be substituted, namely:—

“(ii) The circuit shall be heavily insulated and shall be provided with suitable over-current protection in the form of fuses or automatic circuit breakers. The wiring shall have sufficient carrying capacity and mechanical strength, and shall be so secured and protected as to reduce as far as possible the risk of damage:

Provided that any part of the wiring behind the fire screen shall be independent of the chassis and the main circuit and the current shall be obtained from a separate battery.”

[No. M-104(2) S&PII/53.]

K. K. SHARMA, Dy. Secy.

## MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 23rd September 1953

**S.R.O. 1841.**—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award in respect of a complaint under Section 33-A of the said Act against the Barsi Light Railway Co. Ltd. preferred by Shri Prabhakar Jacob, an employee of the Barsi Light Railway Co. Ltd.

**BEFORE SHRI SALIM M. MERCHANT, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY**

COMPLAINT (IT-CG) No. 5 of 1952

(In Reference IT-CG No. 5 of 1952)

Shri Prabhakar Jacob—Complainant.

*Versus*

The Barsi Light Railway Company Limited, Kurduwadi—Respondents.

In the matter of a complaint under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947.

Shri K. N. Joglekar, President, Barsi Light Railwaymen's Union, for the complainant.

Shri J. D. Bhote, Agent and General Manager, for the Barsi Light Railway Co. Ltd.

## AWARD

During the pendency of the proceedings of the industrial dispute, Ref. (IT-CG) No. 5 of 1952, between the Barsi Light Railway Co. Ltd. and its workmen, the complainant above named, who is admittedly a workman concerned in that dispute, filed this complaint on the 4th November 1952 (Ex. A). His complaint is that during the pendency of the dispute the Company had altered the terms and conditions of his service and/or had punished him by changing his designation from Fitter-in-Charge to that of Fitter-Chargeman, which he considered demotion, without having previously obtained the written permission of the Tribunal as required by Section 33 of the Act.

2. Upon receipt of the complaint the usual notices were issued upon the parties and the Company filed its written statement on the 2nd February 1953. The matter was thereafter taken up for hearing and at the hearing on the 26th August 1953 parties filed the terms of settlement reached between them on this complaint and have prayed that I should make an award in terms thereof. I therefore make an award on this complaint in terms of the settlement reached between the parties, copy of which is annexed hereto and marked Annexure 'A'.

3. This award is directed to be submitted to Government. No order as to costs.

SALIM M. MERCHANT,

Industrial Tribunal.

(Sd.) K. R. WAZKAR,

Secretary.

BOMBAY;  
The 28th August 1953.

**BEFORE SHRI SALIM M. MERCHANT, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY**

COMPLAINT (IT-CG) No. 5 of 1952

(In Reference IT-CG No. 5 of 1952)

Shri Prabhakar Jacob—Complainant.

*Versus*

The Barsi Light Railway Company Limited, Kurduwadi—Respondents.

May It Please Your Honour,

We the parties to this complaint have reached the following settlement and pray that an award be made in terms thereof:—

(1) That the designation of "Fitter-in-Charge" of the Running Shed at Kurduwadi shall be restored to the complainant Shri Prabhakar Jacob, in supersession of the Office Order No. 8/78 of 1952, dated the 22nd October 1952.

(2) It is agreed between the parties that the complainant Shri Prabhakar Jacob shall be at liberty after the Barsi Light Railway is taken over by the Government of India, to press his claim for being classified in the pay scale recommended by the Central Pay Commission for Loco Foreman with retrospective effect

on the basis of the duties as "Fitter-in-Charge" Running Shed, Kurduwadi, which he was performing prior to the 21st October 1952, irrespective of whatever duties may have been taken from his subsequent to the 21st October 1952. The Barsi Light Railway management hereby agrees that it will accept the decision of the Government of India, Central Railway, on this demand of Shri Prabhakar Jacob when the same is decided by the Central Railway, for whatever monetary benefits, Shri Prabhakar Jacob may be entitled to for the period of his service with the Barsi Light Railway Co. Ltd.

(3) The parties in the result are further agreed that the claim of Shri Prabhakar Jacob under demand No. 6 in Ref. (IT-CG) No. 5 of 1952 will not be decided in the manner stated in the terms of settlement, dated the 7th March 1952 on that demand but will be decided as stated in para (2) of the terms of this settlement.

(4) Both parties withdraw the respective allegations made by them at the hearing of this complaint and the management further withdraws the pending charges against Shri Prabhakar Jacob.

Dated at Bombay this 26th day of August 1953.

(Sd.) PRABHAKAR JACOB,  
*Complainant.*

(Sd.) K. N. JOGLEKAR,  
*President,*  
Barsi Light Railwaymen's Union.

(Sd.) J. D. BHOTE,  
*Agent & General Manager,*  
Barsi Light Railway Co. Ltd.

Before me.

SALIM M. MERCHANT,  
*Industrial Tribunal.*

BOMBAY;  
The 28th August 1953.

[No. LR-2 (373).]

New Delhi, the 24th September 1953

S.R.O. 1842.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Madurai, in the matter of applications under Section 33A of the said Act from Messrs. T. M. Peru, Kuzuvelli Repal Sandiyav, Velu Pappan and others, employees of Messrs. Peirce Leslie and Co., Ltd., Fort Cochin.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT  
MADURAI

PRESENT:

Sri E. Krishnamurthi, M.A., B.L., Industrial Tribunal at Madurai.

Tuesday, the 1st September 1953

Industrial Disputes Nos. 17 (Central) of 1953, 18(C) of 1953, 21(C) of 1953, 22(C) of 1953, 24(C) of 1953, 25(C) of 1953, 28(C) of 1953, 30(C) of 1953, 34(C) of 1953, 39(C) of 1953, 41(C) of 1953, 47(C) of 1953, 64(C) of 1953, 65(C) of 1953, 66(C) of 1953, 70(C) of 1953, 78(C) of 1953, 80(C) of 1953, 82(C) of 1953, 130(C) of 1953, 131(C) of 1953, 132(C) of 1953, 133(C) of 1953 and 134(Central) of 1953.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 17(CENTRAL) OF 1953.

BETWEEN

T. M. Peru, Palluruthy, Head Load labourer, under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 18(CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

Kuzuvelli Repal Sandiyav, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Limited, Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 21 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

Velu Pappan, Palluruthy, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Limited, Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 22 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

Ponnaka Palle Krishnan Paramo, Palluruthy, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Limited, Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 24 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

Thuruthel Ethappanoos Joseph, Edakochi, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 25 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

P. P. Pappa Chav, Palluruthy, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 28 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

Manasari Roki, Cochin, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 30 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

Thottum Kara Bappu Ishee, Cochin, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 34 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

Pallipparambil George Vareechan, Fort Cochin, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 39 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

M. C. Ranice, Nazareth, Cochin, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 41 (CENTRAL) OF 1953  
BETWEEN

Thevan Kunjan Pandikkudi, Mattancherry, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 47 (CENTRAL) OF 1953  
BETWEEN

N. K. Saikumaran, Palluruthy, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 64 (CENTRAL) OF 1953  
BETWEEN

P. K. Krishnan, Kumbalangi, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 65 (CENTRAL) OF 1953  
BETWEEN

K. A. Damodharan, Kumbalangi, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Co., Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 66 (CENTRAL) OF 1953  
BETWEEN

K. V. Mosli, Handikkudi, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 70 (CENTRAL) OF 1953  
BETWEEN

C. H. Joseph, Killyan Padam, Mattanchery, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 78 (CENTRAL) OF 1953  
BETWEEN

A. L. Pappachan, Veli, Fort Cochin, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 80 (CENTRAL) OF 1953  
BETWEEN

C. V. Raghavan, Palluruthy, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 82 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

P. C. Chavaro, Vali, Fort Cochin, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 130 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

T. M. Josa, Veli, Fort Cochin, Head Load Labourer, under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 131 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

C. S. Sebastian, Pandikkudi, Mattancherry, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 132 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

C. C. Anthappan, Palluruthy, Head Load Labourer, under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 133 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

N. A. Devassy, Palluruthy, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 134 (CENTRAL) OF 1953

BETWEEN

T. K. Raghavan, Marudu, Trippoonuthura, Head Load Labourer under Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Petitioner.

AND

The management of Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin—Respondents.

## AWARD

By Order No. L.R.2(345) I, dated 14th October 1952, of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, the industrial dispute between certain employers of stevedore labour and their workmen at Port Cochin, has been referred to this Tribunal for adjudication.

2. Pending adjudication of the above dispute the several petitioners as noted above have come forward with these petitions against the common respondent, Messrs. Peirce Leslie and Company Ltd., Fort Cochin.

3. In all the several petitions it is alleged, that the respective petitioners have been employed under the respondent from a long time and working as head load labourers, that they were being paid wages on a piece rate basis, that the respondent unlawfully recruited 25 new hands from 22nd June 1953 with the result that the wages earned by the petitioners became reduced, that the action of the respondent amounts to alteration in the terms of the services of the petitioners under the respondent, and that the respondent should be directed to desist from recruiting new workers and that action should be taken against the employer for violating the conditions of service during the pendency of adjudication proceedings.

4. On behalf of Messrs. Peirce Leslie and Company it is urged that till 22nd October 1952 workers were engaged through contractors and that the company had nothing to do with their employment and that from that date the contractor was eliminated.

5. No counters have been filed by the respondent in these several petitions. However, the contentions raised on behalf of the respondent in the connected petitions [I.D. Nos. 19 to 81(C) of 1953] have been raised in answer to the demands of the workers.

6. The issues that arise for determination are:—

1. Whether the several petitioners were employed as head load workers as permanent workmen under the respondent and from a number of years past?
2. Whether there is a change in the conditions of service as contended on behalf of the petitioners?
3. Whether there is a contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act?
4. To what relief, if any, are the petitioners entitled?
5. What orders should be made regarding costs?

7. These several petitions purports to be filed under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, pending adjudication of the dispute I.D. No. 18 (Central) of 1951 which arises between the employers of stevedore labour and their workmen in Port Cochin.

8. The common respondent in all these petitions is Messrs. Peirce Leslie and Company. The contention of the several petitioners is, that they have been working as head load labourers under the respondent from a number of years past, that the company engaged fresh hands from 22nd June 1953, and thereby introduced a change in the conditions of service of the several petitioners to their prejudice, that the action of the management has resulted in a change in the conditions of service of the petitioners, that their earnings have decreased, that the STATUS QUO should be restored, and that action should be taken against the respondent for having contravened the provisions of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

9. These present petitions are connected with I.D. Nos. 19 to 81 (Central) of 1953 and similar contentions were raised on behalf of the several petitioners therein. The case for the respondent in these petitions, is the same as in the above mentioned connected petitions.

10. When these petitions came up for enquiry, Mr. Pai representing the employer stated that he adopted the counter filed in the previous petitions, and that no fresh counter was being filed herein.

11. Both parties also filed a memo. in the following terms:—

“Joint statement submitted in the above disputes.

It is submitted that the evidence recorded in I.D. 19(C), 20(C) and 23(C)/53 be treated as evidence in the above disputes and award made on that basis.

*The 28th August 1953.*

For the management  
(Sd.) G. B. PAI,  
Advocate.

For the workmen  
(Sd.) T. M. ABOO,  
Secretary,  
C.P.C.L.U.”

12. Thus both parties have consented to abide by the decision in the prior batch of petitions, and have prayed that the evidence let in therein, may be treated as evidence in these petitions and an award delivered on that basis.

13. It follows that the decision in the previous batch of petitions governs the decision in the present batch also, and it is not necessary to set out the reasons therefor *in extenso* in this award.

14. For the reasons mentioned in the award, dated 5th August 1953, and made in the previous batch of petitions adverted to above, I find that there is no alteration of the conditions of service to the prejudice of the petitioners as alleged by them, that there is no contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, and that the employer is entitled to employ fresh hands whenever the exigencies of service require the employment of extra men, when there is increased volume of work, and that the workers are not entitled to any relief.

15. There will be no order as to costs.  
 16. In the result all the petitions are dismissed. No order as to costs. An award is passed in the above terms.

MADURAI;

*The 1st September 1953.*

(Sd.) E. KRISHNAMURTHI,  
 Industrial Tribunal at Madurai.  
 [No. LR.2(345).]

*New Delhi, the 26th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1843.**—The following Order of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, made under rule 23 of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1947, correcting certain clerical errors in its award published in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour S.R.O. 1605, dated the 11th August, 1953, in the industrial dispute between the management of the Standard Coal Co. Ltd., and their workmen, is published.

ORDER

REFERENCE No. 2 OF 1952

The dispute between the Standard Coal Co. Ltd.

AND

Its workmen

In the award passed in this case by this Tribunal on 31st July 1953, there is a slight clerical error. In the last part of the above award (in para. 33), I have considered the question of retrenchment relief and laid down the rates at which this relief should be given to the workmen. In clause (f), I have awarded three months' total emoluments to workmen with service of three years and more. In summing up and passing the final orders in the case in paragraph 38, there has been a slight mistake in clause (f) thereof. That clause, as it now reads, lays down that three months' total emoluments should be given to the workmen with service of two years and more but not more than three years. This is evidently a slip. Workmen with service of two years and more but not more than three years service have been mentioned in clause (e), and those workmen have been held entitled to relief at the rate of 2½ months total emoluments. Rates mentioned in paragraph 38 should be identical with those mentioned in paragraph 33 and there has been a mistake in typing clause (f) in paragraph 38 which should lay down that workmen with service of more than three years should get three months' total emoluments.

Hence I order, under Rule 23 of the Industrial Disputes (Central Rules) 1947, that for clause (f) in paragraph 38 of the award passed in this case and published in the *Gazette of India* dated 22nd August 1953, Part II, Section 3, the following should be substituted.

"(f) Workmen with service of three years and more—three months' total emoluments."

*The 2nd September 1953.*

(Sd.) L. P. DAVE,  
 Chairman, Central Government's Industrial  
 Tribunal, Dhanbad.

[No. LR.4(200).]  
 P. S. EASWARAN, Under Secy.

*New Delhi, the 26th September 1953*

**S.R.O. 1844.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (XIX of 1952) and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. PF-516(150) dated the 20th August, 1953, the Central Government has, on return from leave, appointed Shri B. S. Arora to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Madhya Bharat for the purposes of the said Act and of any Scheme made thereunder in relation to factories engaged in a controlled industry or in an industry connected with a mine or an oil field.

**S.R.O. 1845.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by paragraph 20 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, made under section 5 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (XIX of 1952), the Central Government has on return from leave appointed Shri B. S. Arora, Deputy Commissioner of Labour, State of Madhya Bharat to be the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner for the whole of the State of Madhya Bharat to work under the general control and superintendence of the Central Provident Fund Commissioner, vice Shri A. S. Banavalkar.

[No. P.F.-516(150).]

TEJA SINGH SAHNI, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 26th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1846.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 5 of the Tea Districts Emigrant Labour Act, 1932 (XXII of 1932), the Central Government hereby fixes rupees five as the rate of the Emigrant Labour Cess to be levied in respect of the entry into Assam of each assisted emigrant for the year commencing on the 1st October, 1953 and ending on the 30th September, 1954.

[No. PL-138/EMG/(26).]

New Delhi, the 28th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1847.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal consisting of the following members, for the adjudication of industrial disputes concerning certain banking companies, namely:—

1. Shree C. Bhaktavatsalu Naidu, Chairman of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal at Calcutta—Chairman.
2. Shree G. Palit, District Judge and Industrial Tribunal, West Bengal—Member.
3. Shree R. M. Koppikar, formerly Manager, Reserve Bank of India, Calcutta—Member.

[No. LR-100(67)-I.]

### ORDERS

New Delhi, the 24th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1848.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between employers in relation to the Imperial Bank of India and the workman or workmen specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed to this order;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer for adjudication the matters specified in column 3 of the said Schedule, which are matters in dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal at Calcutta constituted under section 7 of the said Act for adjudication, each of the said matters specified in column 3 of the said Schedule, being a matter between the employers in relation to the Imperial Bank of India and the workman or workmen specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 thereof.

### SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Name of the workman	Nature of dispute	Address of the workman
1	2	3	4

- 1 Shri Chhagan Lal Dismissal from service . New Tin Shed, Shop No. 19, Bistupur Bazar, Jamshedpur.
- 2 Shri Nabin Chandra Discharge from service . C/o Shri Jyotindra Pd. Tewari, Collectorate, Bhagalpur.

Serial No.	Name of the workman	Nature of dispute	Address of the workman
1	2	3	4
3	Shri Rama Kant Jha	Dismissal from service	Village and P.O. Joglara, District Darbhanga.
4	Shri Anant Prasad	Stoppage of increment	C/o Imperial Bank of India, Patna.
5	Shri N. C. Chowdhary	Dismissal from service	C/o Dalip Mahal, Monghyr.
6	Shri Surendra Prasad Mishra	Dismissal from service	C/o M/s. Nathunilal and Sons, Dr. Rajender Prasad Road, Bekapur (Monghyr).
7	Shri Nand Kishore Mishra	Demotion from service	C/o Pt. Jugal Kishore Mishra, 195-A, Buxerian, Shahjahanpur.
8	Shri Sawal Das Agarwal	Transfer from one station to another.	C/o. Imperial Bank of India, Banaras Cantt.
9	Shri Daya Ram Mishra	Stoppage of increment	C/o. Imperial Bank of India, Banaras.
10	Shri Sheo Prasad Gupta	Dismissal from service	C/o. B. Goverdhan Das, Mohalla Khatri Tola, Azamgarh.
11	Shri Biren Banerjee	Demotion in grade	C/o. Imperial Bank of India, Banaras Cantt.
12	Shri Indra Prakash Sangal	Promotion in service	C/o. Imperial Bank of India, Muzaffarnagar.
13	Shrr H. L. Tandon	Delay in making promotion	C/o. Imperial Bank of India, Kanpur.
14	Shri D. S. Bhatt	Wrongful suspension	109, Sagar Gate, Jhansi.
15	Shri Thakur Ganga Prasad	Dismissal from service	C/o. Sub-post Master, P. O. Purani Basti, District Basti, Uttar Pradesh.
16	Shri B. N. Tewari	Reduction in pay	105/530, Anand Bagh, Kanpur.
17	Shri Rama Kant Agnihotri	Dismissal from service	C/o. Pt. Vishram Dutt Vaid, Greek Ganj, Sitapur.
18	Shri Brijesh Narain Tewari	Termination of service	C/o. Pt. Nathooram Tewari, Ex. Asstt. Cashier, 18, Daru Bhondela, Jhansi.
19	Shri Ram Sewak	Dismissal from service	C/o. Shri D. Shanker, Mohalla Vaidraj, Jhansi City.
20	Shri S. D. Misra	Victimisation	Village and P. O. Rithanri Katra, District Agra.
21	Shri Shyam Kishore Shukla	Dismissal from service	Nai Basti, Sitapur.
22	Shri Ram Saran Shukla	Dismissal from service	105/3, Prem Nagar, Kanpur.
23	Shri Sardar Kartar Singh Bindra	Wrongful dismissal	House No. 1216, Mohalla Kharasian Near Augurian Wali Masjid, Patiala.
24	Shri Ramji Das Gupta	Termination of service	C/o. M/s. Ramji Das Devki Nandan, Mandi Ateli, Pepsu.
25	Shri C. D. Saraf	Payment of compensation	C/o. Imperial Bank of India Kapurthala.
26	Shri Prakash Chand Chopra	Termination of service	C/o. The Punjab National Bank, Ltd., Dina Nagar (Gurdaspur)

[No. LR.100(89).]

**S.R.O. 1849.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Central Government hereby directs that the entries against serial numbers 1 to 4, 7 and 8 in the Schedule annexed to the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, No. S.R.O. 1544, dated the 4th August 1953, shall be omitted and that serial numbers 5 and 6 shall be re-numbered 1 and 2 respectively.

[No. LR-100(89).]

New Delhi, the 28th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1848.**—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between employers in relation to the Bank of India Limited, Bombay, and their workmen concerning the writing of pass books by ledger keepers employed in the said Bank;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), and in supersession of the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 325, dated the 16th February 1952, the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal constituted by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. LR.100(67)I, dated the 28th September, 1953.

[No. LR.100(67)II.]

N. C. KUPPUSWAMI, Dy. Secy.

### ORDER

New Delhi, the 29th September 1953

**S.R.O. 1851.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (XXXIV of 1948), the Central Government hereby exempts from the payment of employer's special contribution under chapter VA of the said Act every factory—

- (a) which is exclusively engaged in one or more of the manufacturing processes specified in column 1 of the Table annexed hereto or any other manufacturing process which is incidental to or connected with any of the aforesaid processes or in any other manufacturing process carried on in a seasonal factory of the nature referred to in clause (12) of section 2 of the said Act; and
- (b) which is situated in any area specified in the corresponding entry in column 2 of the said Table for a period of one year with effect from the 1st October, 1953, subject to the condition, if any, specified in the corresponding entry in col. 3 of the said Table.

### TABLE

Name of the manufacturing process	Area where situated	Conditions
1	2	3
1. Redrying unmanufactured leaf tobacco	Whole of India except the State of Jammu & Kashmir	
2. Rice milling	do	
3. Cold storage	do	
4. Salt manufacture	do	
5. Cashew processing	do	
6. Oil Mills	do	Provided that the process of oil milling is subsidiary to any other manufacturing process which is seasonal and so long as the number of employees engaged in oil milling is less than fifty.
7. Ice Manufacture	The State of Punjab, Delhi, Ajmer, Uttar Pradesh, Vindhya Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Madhya, Bharat, Bhopal, Hyderabad, Bihar, Rajasthan & PEPSU.	

[No. S.S.137(27).]

S. NEELAKANTAN, Dy. Secy

New Delhi, the 3rd October 1953

**S.R.O. 1852.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 19 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (XI of 1948), the Central Government hereby directs that for the Schedule to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1512, dated the 24th September 1951, the Schedule hereto annexed shall be substituted.

## SCHEDULE

Name of the Officer 1	Local limits 2
Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) . . . . .	The whole of India except the State of Jammu and Kashmir.
Welfare Adviser to the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), . . . . .	The whole of India except the State of Jammu and Kashmir.
Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Bombay . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central) Bombay . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central) Poona . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Rajkot . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bombay-I . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bombay-II . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bombay-III . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Poona . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Ahmedabad . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bhusawal . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Hubli . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Rajkot . . . . .	
Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Calcutta . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Calcutta-I . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Calcutta-II . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Gauhati . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Calcutta-I . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Calcutta-II . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Calcutta-III . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Gauhati . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Kharagpur . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Dibrugarh . . . . .	
Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Dhanbad . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Asansol . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Cuttack . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Dhanbad-I . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Dhanbad-II . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Patna . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Asansol . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bermo . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Patna . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Giridih . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Jharia . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Katrasgarh . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Kodarma . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Muzaffarpur . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Cuttack . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Rewa . . . . .	
Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Kanpur . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Delhi . . . . .	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Kanpur . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Gorakhpur . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bareilly . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Lucknow . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Allahabad . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Kanpur . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Delhi-I . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Delhi-II . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Ferozepur . . . . .	
Labour Inspector (Central), Amritsar . . . . .	
	The States of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Patiala and East Punjab States Union, Himachal Pradesh, Delhi and Bilaspur.

Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras	The States of Madras, Mysore, Travancore Cochin and Coorg.
Conciliation Officer (Central), Madras	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Cochin	
Labour Inspector (Central), Madras—I	
Labour Inspector (Central), Madras—II	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bezwada	
Labour Inspector (Central), Vizagapatam	
Labour Inspector (Central), Madurai	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bangalore	
Labour Inspector (Central), Coimbatore	
Labour Inspector (Central), Kolar (Gold Fields)	The States of Madhya Pradesh, Hyderabad, Ajmer, Rajasthan, Madhya Bharat and Bhopal.
Labour Inspector (Central), Trivandrum	
Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Nagpur	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Nagpur	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Secunderabad	
Conciliation Officer (Central), Ajmer	
Labour Inspector (Central), Jubulpore	
Labour Inspector (Central), Nagpur	
Labour Inspector (Central), Raipur	
Labour Inspector (Central), Secunderabad	
Labour Inspector (Central), Kotaha Gadium	
Labour Inspector (Central), Ajmer	
Labour Inspector (Central), Jodhpur	
Labour Inspector (Central), Ratlam	
Labour Inspector (Central), Bhilwara	
Labour Inspector (Central), Parasia (Coal fields)	

[No. L.W.I-24(60).]  
A. P. VEERA RAGHAVAN, Asstt. Secy.